

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,580	06/26/2003	David William Boerstler	AUS920020690US1	5123
75	90 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Gregory W. Carr 670 Founders Square			NATALINI, JEFF WILLIAM	
900 Jackson Str			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75202			2858	
DATE MAILED:		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ds.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/606,580	BOERSTLER ET A	L.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff Natalini	2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the ; or (3) a			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, many	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filin of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 						
NOTE: The amendments make more specific wh	at frequencies are measured and h	ow the lock and capt				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allow	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
PRIMARY EXAMINER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office